SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD,

DVV Clarification in the Metric 1.4.4

We provide some samples of feedback forms from the stakeholders along with action taken report.

Sr. No	Year	Name of Students	Class	Page No.
1	2021-22	Miss Anita Rajesh Kolhatkar	B. Com	3
2		Miss Anuja Shantaram Tyade	B.A. II	4
3		Miss Anjali Shrikrishna Raut	B.A. II	5
4	2019-20	Dinesh Ganesh Chavan	B.Com III	6
5		Miss Vimal Mahadeo Kator	B.Com II	7
6	2021-22	Miss Divya Sunil Gaykwad	B.Com II	8
7	2018-19	Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report		9
8	2019-20	Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report		26
9	2020-21	Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report		42
10	2021-22	Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report		52



Ref No.

Date :

SELF-DECLARATION

This is to certify that the information, reports, true copies of the supporting documents, numerical date and web links furnished herein are verified by IQAC and the Head of the Institution and are found correct.

IOAC Co-ordinator

Chairman / Ce-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Committee S.K.K.Mahavidyalaya, Jalgaon Ja.



Principa

Principal Shripad Krishna Kolhatkar Mahavidyalaya, Jalgaon (J).

SHRIP	AD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD
	ALUMINI FEEDBACK FORM
NAME	=
ADDRESS	: Sunyawanshi Nggan wand no 1 Jalgaan (James)
MOB. NO.	:93225462.87
E-MAIL	: _ antritakothalkab25@ gmall.com
QUALIFICATION	: <u>B-(000</u>
YEAR OF PASSING	2022 DEGREE STUDIED M. LOM T

Dear Ex- student of our Institution,

Greetings to you. We are glad that you have spent your valuable years in pursuing your education in this Institution. We shall be thankful if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this alumni feedback form and give us your valuable suggestions for further improvement of the institution. Your inputs will be of great use to improve the quality of our academic programs. This feedback will certainly be helpful for various purposes including decision-making for OUR improvement.

Please Tick the appropriate √ of your response.

i.

1.	Admission Pro	cedure			
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good C. Go	od	D. Satisfactory E. Ave	rage
2.	Alumni Associa	tion/Network of old Fr	iends		
	A. Excellent	B-Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
3.	Infrastructure				
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	.C.Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Averaga
4.	Mahavidyalaya		10752334	12021202000000	
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
5,				n contrato acces	
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
6.	Teaching and L	earning			
	A Excellent	B. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
7.	Knowledge Res	ource Centre (Library)			
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
8.	Extra Curricular	Activities			
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good		D. Satisfactory	E. Average
9.	How do you rate	e the courses which are	skills orier	nted	
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good		D. Satisfactory	E. Average
10.	Lab facilities				
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good ^	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
11.	Course of study a	nd its relevance to the r	real life app	plication	
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	Good 2	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
12.	Educational Visit	(Industry / Fields / Histo	prical)		
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good		D. Satisfactory	E. Average
13.	Overall capability	of students passing out	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	A. Excellent	이 아파 옷을 걸려 가지 않는 것을 받았다.	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average

SHRIPAD KRISHNA I	OLHATKAR MAHAVIDYA	LAYA, JALGAON JAMO	
	STUDENT'S FEEDBACH Social Science Department	1.21	
Name: Ky Anyj	a shantaparm	Tayde	
Class: B.A. II	Semester	Year 2021-22	
Department	Progra	Imme	

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters	POL.	ECO.	HIS	SOC	HEC
JI. NO		NRN	GMM	GNB	GMJ	AUJ
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5			5	5
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4			4	5
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5			5	4
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5			5	5
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4			5	4
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5			4	5
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5			\prec	E
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4			5	5
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4			3	4
10	Overall rating of the teacher	5			5	L

Rating – 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree, 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree, 1-Strongly Disagree.

NRN	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar	GMM	Dr. Girish M. Mayee
GNB	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad	GMJ	Mr. Ganesh M. Joshi
AUJ	Mrs. Archana U. Joshi		

Date:

ature

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOU	HATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD
9	STUDENT'S FEEDBACK
Name: Ku. Asjeli	Shrikrushod Raut.
Class: B.A. II	Semester Year _ 2019 - 20
Department	Programme

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters	POL.	ECO.	HIS	SOC	HEC
COULD BE	Carle Merine	NRN	GMM	GNB	GMJ	AUJ
£	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	S	3	5	4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	4	3	4	3
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	5	3	5	3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	5	3	5	4
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	5	З	4	۵
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	5	2	5	4
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5	4	1	2	3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	5	5	5	S
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	4	3	4	4
10	Overall rating of the teacher	S	4	2	4	1

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

NRN	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar	GMM	Dr. Girish M. Mayee
GNB	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad	GMJ	Mr. Ganesh M. Joshi
AUJ	Mrs. Archana U. Joshi		

Date:

·A·S·Raut· Signature

		AMENALAVA INICAON	LAMON SALE
SHRIPAD KRI	SHNA KOLHATKAR MAH	AVIDYALAYA, JALGAON	All Val
	STUDENT'S F	EEDBACK	
	Department of	Commerce	12
	1 1 1	1 1	CONDA 14
Name : Dines	h ganesheir		10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Class: 2rd	year_ Semester	Year 20	19-20
Department	Connet ce	Programme	

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

-			-	B.Com.		
Sr. No	Parameters	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NAE
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	5	4	5	4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	5	5	5	4
3.	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	भ	ч	5	5
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4	4	5	5	5
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	4	4	5	5
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4	5	4	5	5
	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4	5	4	5	5
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	4	2	5	5
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	4	4	5	5
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4	5	5	4	5

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

SSM	Mr. Sudhir S. Mulay	HRV	Mr. Hrishikarsh R. Vipradas
VPB	Mr. Vinod P,Bawaskar	ASN	Mr. Akash S. Nilje
NAB	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale		

Date:

d.g. Chamme

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD STUDENT'S FEEDBACK Department of Language Name : <u>ku. vimcli Mahadro Katore</u>

Class:	B.com	TT.	Semester	3-4	Year 2019-20
Departn	nent	[ommes	CP	Progra	mme

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

-	Parameters	MAR	MAR	MAR	ENG	ENG	ELT
Sr. No	Falanciels	RSD	PCG	SMI	GVK	PPC	RSS
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4	5	4	3	4	5
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	3	4	5	5.	4	5
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4	4	3	4	5	3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and elassroom participation	5	5	4	3	4	9
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	5	4	4	2	5
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4	4	3	3	5	5
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5	4	3	4.	5	4
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	4	5	4	3	4
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	4	3	4	5	4
10	Overall rating of the teacher	3	S	5	4	4	3

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

RSD	Dr. Ram S. Deshmukh	PCG	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai
SMI	Mr. Siddharth M. Ingale	GVK	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni
	Mr. Pradeep P. Chavan	RSS	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede

Date:

Signature

	SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD ALUMINI FEEDBACK FORM
NAME	KU. DIVYA SUDI GOYKIDA
ADDRESS	: p1. post. chawpa ta. Jalgaon (1) bist Buldh
MOB. NO.	9834439957
E-MAIL	· ·
QUALIFICA	TION :
YEAR OF P	ISSING : 2021-22 DEGREE STUDIED B.COM

Dear Ex- student of our Institution,

Greetings to you. We are glad that you have spent your valuable years in pursuing your education in this Institution. We shall be thankful if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this alumni feedback form and give us your valuable suggestions for further improvement of the Institution. Your inputs will be of great use to improve the quality of our academic programs. This feedback will certainly be helpful for various purposes including decision-making for OUR improvement.

٩

Please Tick the appropriate √ of your response.

1.	Admission Pro	cedure			
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good C. Go	od I	D. Satisfactory E. Ave	rage
2.	Alumni Associa	ation/Network of old Fri	iends		
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
3.		terro composidor	1		12410200.0000000
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good,	E. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
4.			1	D. California	
23	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	LE. 0000	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
5.	Faculty	P. Mary Good	C Good	D. Satisfactory	F Average
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	C. 0000	D. Satisfactory	r' wielage
б.	Teaching and L		/	1.120.8	1212
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	AC-Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
7.	Knowledge Res	ource Centre (Library)			
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
8.	Extra Curricular	Activities			
	A. Excellent	8. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
9.	How do you rat	e the courses which are	skills orien	ted	
	A. Excellent	8. Very Good		D. Satisfactory	E. Average
10.	Lab facilities		1		
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	E. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
11.	Course of study a	ind its relevance to the	real life app	lication	
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	C. Good	D. Satisfactory	E. Average
12.	Educational Visit	(Industry / Fields / Histo	orical)		
1300	A. Excellent	B. Very Good	/	D. Satisfactory	E Auerage
13.0	Overall capability	of students passing out			er viver alle
	A. Excellent	B. Very Good			
			20000	D. Satisfactory	c. Average

Feedback Report 2018-2019

CHART No.1: Mean score of student's responses towards the statements about the teaching-learning environment in college




Table No.1:

CEE		CEE		CEE		OPP	
SFF.	M.S.	SFF.	M.S.	SFF.	M.S.	SFF.	M.S.
No.		No.		No.		No.	
1	4	26	4.2	51	4.3	76	4.3
2	4.3	27	4.4	52	4.6	77	4.1
3	4.2	28	3.9	53	3.9	78	3.9
4	4.6	29	4.2	54	4.4	79	3.9
5	3.3	30	3.6	55	3.8	80	4.3
6	4.1	31	4.3	56	4.6	81	4.3
7	4.2	32	4.4	57	3.8	82	4.1
8	4.3	33	4.6	58	4.3	83	4.6
9	3.8	34	4.1	59	3.8	84	4.3
10	4.2	35	4.3	60	4.2	85	4.4
11	3.8	36	4.2	61	4.1	86	4.1
12	4.6	37	4.4	62	3.3	87	4.3
13	3.9	38	4.2	63	4.3	88	4.3
14	4.2	39	4.4	64	4.1	89	4.3
15	4.7	40	4.2	65	3.9	90	4.4
16	4.2	41	3.9	66	4.3	91	3.3
17	3.9	42	3.6	67	4.3	92	3.8
18	4.1	43	4.2	68	4.8	93	3.7
19	3.4	44	4.6	69	4.1	94	3.4
20	4.4	45	3.5	70	3.6	95	4.2
21	4.2	46	3.3	71	4.2	96	4.3
22	4.2	47	3.9	72	4.6	97	4.1
23	3.9	48	4.9	73	4.1	98	4.3
24	4.3	49	4.2	74	4.3	99	4.6
25	4.2	50	4.4	75	4.4	100	4.3
(Overall M	ean Score o	of all Stud	ents Feedb	ack Form	=	4.1

Mean score of student's responses towards the statements about the teaching- learning environment in college

Chart No. 2

Mean score of student's responses towards the statements about the teaching- learning environment in college




Table No.2:

•

Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of art department teachers in college

	of students feedback collected on t Stat		s on five					
State. No.	Statemnt	NRN	GMM	GNB	AUJ	GMJ	Average mean score	Mean Score
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4.1	4.1	4.2	4	4.2	4.1	4.1
	Overall Score	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3

	Sr. No.	Name of Teachers	Short Form
	1	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar	NRN
	2	Dr. Girish M. Mayee	GMM
	3	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad	GNB
	4	Mrs. Archana U. Joshi	AUJ
13	Page 5	Mr. Ganesh M. Joshi	GMJ

Chart No.3: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Commerce department teachers in college

Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Commerce department teachers in college


	SSM HRV VPB ASN NAB Averagemeanscore MeanScore								
		_			-	_			
1	Theteacher comesontimeforthelecture and engage hislectureregularly	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3	
2	The teacher prepare well for theclasses and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	
ŝ	The teacher communicateseffectively with the students	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	
4	Theteacheren cour agesstudents for asking question, discussion, and classroom participation	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	
Ŋ	Yourteachermakestopicseasytounderstand and conducts studentscentriclearningactivities	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	
9	The teachercreates interest in the subject	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	
7	TheteacherusesICTtools(Zoommeeting, Google classroom, youtube, emailetc;)	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	
00	$\label{eq:constraint} The teacher is fair and transparent in internal assessment$	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4	4.4	
б	The teacher informs you aboutcourse, plan, yourexpected	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	
10	competencies, course out come and programs out come Overall rating of the teacher	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4	

Table No.3:

Statement No	Statement	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	SPK	Average Mean score	Mean Score
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion, and classroom participation	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4	4.4
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
10	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4
	Overall Score	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7

Mean score of student's responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Commerce department teachers in college

Sr. No.	Name of Teachers	Short Form
1	Mr. Sudhir S. Muley	SSM
2	Mr. Hrishikesh R. Vipradas	HRV
3	Mr. Vinod P Bawaskar	VPB
4	Mr. Akash S. Nilje	ASJ
5	Miss. S. P. Kothalkar	SPK



`

Chart No 4: Mean score of student's responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Language department teachers in college




Table No 4: Mean score of student's responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Language department teachers in college

Res	ponses of student's feedback collec		he basis nent so		•	0		he follow	ing
No	Statem ent	RSD	PCG	GVK	PPC	RS S	SMI	Avera ge mea n scor e	M ea n Sc or e
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.3	4.2	43	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
10	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
	Overa ll Score	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3

Sr. No.	Name of Teachers	Short Form
1	Dr. Ramchandra S. Deshmukh	RSD
2	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai	PCG
3	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni	GVK
4	Mr. Pradip P. Chavan	РРС
5	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede	RSS
Page 6	Mr. Siddhartha M. Ingale	SMI

Analysis of the Students Feedback Survey:

The students were asked to point out their feedback, perception on five point Likert scale of the degree of strongly agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, and strongly disagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing, 3 to neutral, 2 to disagreeing, and 1 to strongly disagreeing. After that, the recorded perceptions on the different statements were processed to obtain the students feedback analysis report. For validation purposes, statement having a mean score below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 3.01 to 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While mean score more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as strongly agreeing.

Conclusions:

Table No.: 1, and Chart No.: 1, demonstrates the mean scores of the student's feedback report towards, quality of teaching learning environment, obtained on sixteen statements. The charts states that students had similar opinion towards all the sixteen statements ranging from 3.3 to 4.9. It may be noted that all the sixteen statements were considered as strongly agreeing since the minimum scores of any statement was not less than 3.3.

However it may be noted that a statement, 'The functioning of the placement cell in the college is satisfactory', with a mean score of 2.5 and another statement, 'Online educational resources are available and accessible in library' with a mean score of 4.1 was not rated as a significant statement by the students with the comparison of statement, 'The campus is green and eco-friendly', with a mean score 4.1 and statement, 'The office staff in college is cooperative and helpful', with a mean score of 4.4. The mean scores of the responses between the degree of strongly disagreeing and strongly agreeing held by all students for all statements ranged from3.3, to 4.9, and so on, with an average mean score of 4.4, highest strongly agreeing statement, whereas the statement, 'The functioning of the placement cell in the college is satisfactory' had scored lowest average mean of 2.5.

Table No.: 2, and Chart No.: 2, demonstrates the responses of student's feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Social Science** Department teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no.2, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.2 and maximum score is 4.4 and average score is 4.3

Table No.: 3, and Chart No.: 3, demonstrates the responses of student's feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Commerce Department** teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no. 3, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.6 and maximum score is 4.8 and average score is 4.7

collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Language Department** teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no. 4, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.2 and maximum score is 4.3 and average score is 4.3

Action Taken:

The analysis of feedback report was forwarded to the Principal, HOD's of Humanities and Commerce department and was also discussed in the meeting held by IQAC coordinator for taking collectively correct action to minimize the dissatisfaction of students were the minimum mean score is not less than 4.1

REPORT OF THE FEEDBACK COMMITTEE FOR THEACADEMICYEAR2018-2019 Feedback report from the students was collected offline.

- Feedbacks collected from the students were analyzed and approval of the same was taken from the principal and then forwarded to the HOD's of the college.
- 50 feedbacks out of Four hundred Ninety-Eight students from arts, 50 feedbacks out of Four hundred Sixty-Five students from commerce departmental students were respectively collected.
- The students were asked to point out their feedback, perception on five point Likert scale of the degree of strongly agreeing, agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, and strongly disagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing, 3 to neutral, 2 to disagreeing, and1 to strongly disagreeing. After that, there corded perceptions on the different statements were processed to obtain the students feedback analysis report. For ideation purposes, statement having a mean score below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 3.1to 5.0 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While mean score more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as strongly agreeing. An overall finding of the report faculty-wise is as follows.

1. Analysis of the Departmental Students Feedback Survey:

- Mean score of **Social Science**, **Commerce & Language** department students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of all department teachers in college is 4.4.
- Mean score of the department student's responses towards the Statements about the teaching-learning environment in college is 4.1.

Suggestion for the betterment were given by the committee as follows

- Offline feedback should be collected
- Questionnaire should be restructured as per NAAC guidelines.
- Students should be informed in advance and motivated to fill offline feedback form.

Practical knowledge such as field visit, industrial trip should be increased.

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD STUDENT'S FEEDBACK Department of Language

Name :	Renuka	Sakharam	Hand	ale.	_
Class:	B.A.T	Semester	I	Year 2018-19	
Departn	nent		Progra	amme	_

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters	MAR	MAR	MAR	ENG	ENG	ELT
51. 140	, and the certy		PCG	SMI	GVK	PPC	RSS
I.	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	5	5	5	5	4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4	Ц	4	4	4	4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	3	5	5	5	5	4
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4	4	4	4	4	4
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	3	3	3	3	3	3
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.).	3	3	3	3.	3	3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4	4	4	4	4	4
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	.5	5	5	5	5	5
10	Overall rating of the teacher						

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree, 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree, 1-Strongly Disagree.

RSD	Dr. Ram S. Deshmukh	PCG	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai
SMI	Mr. Siddharth M. Ingale	GVK	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni
PPC	Mr. Pradeep P. Chavan	RSS	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede

Date:

Signature R.S. Wondale

AL HEIRA

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOL	HATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMO	4
	Social Science Department	41
Name: <u>ku:-</u> Rupali	Gopal Warkhade	14
Class: B.A.T	Semester Year Year	
Department		

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters	POL.	ECO.	HIS	SOC	HEC
		NRN	GMM	GNB	GMJ	AUJ
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	5	4	5	1
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	4	5	4	3
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	4	3	5	5
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	4	5	5	4
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	3	5	9	3
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	4	4	5	4
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5	5	4	5	3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent' In internal assessment	5	3	5	5	4
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	4	5	5	3
10	Overall rating of the teacher	5	5	5	5	5

Rating – 5-Strongly Agree	4- Agree , 3-	Neutral, 2- Disagree	,1-Strongly Disagree.
---------------------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------------------

NRN	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar	GMM	Dr. Girish M. Mayee
GNB	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad	GMJ	Mr. Ganesh M. Joshi
AUJ	Mrs. Archana U. Joshi		State States in No. Sosia



Date:

	-
SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON	JAMO
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK	

SIEKE KO

Name: Ku Lazoni	Denapam	Welkap
Class: B.A TT	Semester	Year018-19
Department	P	rogramme

Dear Student.

This form has been designed to seek feedback form you to strengthen the quality of teaching learning environment to provide best possible facilities and modern infrastructure. The information provided by you will be kept confidential.

Direction : For each of item please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements by selecting appropriate option Strongly Agree, Agree , Neutral, Disagree and Strongly Disagree.

Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The office staff I college is cooperative and helpful	5	5	5	5	
The Library staff in college is cooperative and helpful	5	5	5	-	1
Online educational resources are available and accessible in library	5	5	5	5	5
Internet facility provided in the college library is satisfactory	5	5	5	5	~
The prescribed book / reading materials are available I library	5	5	5	5	-
Equipments in the lab , Projector in classrooms, Computer in Library are in good working condition	5	5	_5	5	1
Results are displayed by the college	5	5	5	5	_
Separate common rooms for girls and boys are available	5	5	5	5	-
Toilets/ washrooms are clean and properly maintained	5	5	5	5	-

					SHUS MUSA
S	HRIPAD KRISHNA KOLH	ATKAR MAHA	IDYALAYA, JAL	GAON JAMOD	137 2
		TUDENT'S FEEI			a)a
	c	Department of La	nguage		PHU Davida 292
Name :	Ku. Renuka	Machadel	o Dhanme	2	Aur
Class: _	B.com I	_Semester	-2 Year	2018-19	
Depart	ment <u>commer</u>	90CE	Programme		

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

	Becomotors	MAR	MAR	MAR	ENG	ENG	ELT
Sr. No	Parameters	RSD	PCG	SMI	GVK	PPC	RSS
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4	4	4	4	4	4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4	4	3	9	4	9
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4	3	4	9	3	4
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion, and classroom participation	3	S	4	3	4	5
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4	4	3	4	4	5
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	3	3	4	4	4	5
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	3	4	5	4 ·	3	S
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4	4	4	3	3	5
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4	3	4	S	4	4
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4	4	5	5	4	2

Rating – 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree, 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree, 1-Strongly Disagree.

RSD	Dr. Ram S. Deshmukh	PCG	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai
	Mr. Siddharth M. Ingale	GVK	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni
PPC	Mr. Pradeep P. Chavan	RSS	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede


R.M. Dhapme Signature

Date:

Feedback Report 2019-2020

CHART No.1:


Mean score of art department student's responses towards the statements about the teaching-learning environment in college



SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.
1	4.9	26	4.4	51	3.8	76	4.4
2	4.3	27	4.4	52	4.6	77	4.1
3	4.4	28	3.9	53	4.4	78	3.9
4	4.6	29	4.2	54	4.4	79	3.9
5	3.3	30	3.6	55	3.8	80	4.3
6	4.4	31	4.3	56	4.6	81	4.4
7	4.2	32	4.4	57	3.8	82	4.1
8	4.3	33	4.6	58	4.3	83	4.6
9	3.8	34	4.4	59	3.8	84	4.3
10	4.2	35	4.3	60	4.2	85	4.4
11	3.8	36	4.4	61	4.4	86	4.4
12	4.6	37	4.4	62	3.3	87	4.3
13	3.9	38	4.2	63	4.3	88	4.3
14	4.2	39	4.4	64	4.4	89	4.3
15	4.7	40	4.2	65	3.9	90	4.4
16	4.2	41	3.9	66	4.3	91	3.3
17	3.9	42	3.6	67	4.3	92	3.8
18	4.1	43	4.4	68	4.8	93	3.7
19	3.4	44	4.6	69	4.4	94	3.4
20	4.4	45	3.5	70	3.6	95	4.2
21	4.2	46	3.3	71	4.2	96	4.3
22	4.2	47	4.4	72	4.6	97	4.4
23	4.4	48	4.9	73	4.4	98	4.3
24	4.3	49	4.2	74	4.3	99	4.6
25	4.3	50	4.2	75	4.6	100	4.4
0	verall M	ean Score o	f all Stud	lents Feedba	ack Form]=	4.2

Table No.1: Mean score of art department student's responses towards the statements about the teaching- learning environment in college

Chart No.2: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of art department teachers in college



	State		n five p		un sca			
Statement No.	Statement	NRN	GMM	GNB	AUJ	GMJ	Avera ge mea n scor e	Mea n Sco re
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
	Overall Score	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.
Sr. No.	Name of Teachers						Short Fo	
1	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar						NRN	
2	Dr. Girish M. Mayee						GMN	
2	3 Dr. Gopal N. Bhad							
3	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad Mrs. Archana U. Joshi						GNB AUJ	

Table No.2: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of art department teachers in college

Chart No.3: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Commerce department teachers in college

Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Commerce department teachers in college

SSM HRV VPB ASN NAB Averageme an score Mean Score

Ч	Theteacher comesontimeforthelecture and engage hislectureregularly	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for theclasses and demonstratess ubject command	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
ŝ	The teacher communicateseffectively with the students	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
4	Theteacheren cour agesstudents for asking question, discussion, and classroom participation	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4
Ŋ	Yourteachermakestopicseasytounderstand and conducts studentscentriclearningactivities	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2
9	Theteachercreates interest in the subject	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
7	TheteacherusesICTtools(Zoommeeting, Google classroom, youtube, emailetc;)	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
00	$\label{eq:constraint} The teacher is fair and transparent in internal assessment$	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4	4.4
6	The teacher informs you aboutcourse, plan, yourexpected	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
10	competencies, courseout comeand programsout come Overallrating of the teacher	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4

Respor	ises of stu	dent's feedback collected o staten			'rating points]			n to the fo	llowin	
Statem ent No		Statement	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	SPK	Average Mean score	Mea n Sco re	
1		ner comes on time for the nd engage his lecture	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3	
2		ther prepare well for the and demonstrates subject 1	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	
3		ner communicates by with the students	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation		4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities		4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	
6	The teach subject	her creates interest in the	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	
7		The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)		4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	
8		ner is fair and transparent al assessment	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4	4.4	
9	The teach plan, you	ner informs you about course, r expected competencies, atcome and programs out	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	
10	lecture an	ner comes on time for the nd engage his lecture regularly	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4	
		verallScore	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.	
	r. No.	Name of Teachers						Short Fo		
1 Mr. Sudhir S. Muley								SSM		
	2 3	Mr. Hrishikesh R. Viprad Mr. Vinod P Bawaskar	uas					HRV		
	3	Mr. Vinod P Bawaskar Mr. Akash S. Nilje						VPB ASJ		
	5	Miss S.P. Kothalkar						SPK		

Table No.3: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Commerce department teachers in college

Chart No 4: Mean score of student's responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Language department teachers in college

	Responses of student's feedback collec given to the following statemen					-		nad	
	■ RSD ■ PCG ■ GVK ■ PPC ■ RSS ■ SMI	Aver	age mea	n score	■ Me	an Score	ò		
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
'n	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
ъ	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3
9	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
00	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	4	4.1	4.2	4.2
ŋ	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
10	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2

R	the teaching of Lang Responses of student's feedback co	ollected	l on th	e basis	s of rat	ting t	hey had	l given to	o the
	following staten	nent so	on five	points	Liker	t sca	le	-	1
No.	Statement	RSD	PCG	GVK	PPC	RS S	SMI	Average mean score	Me an Sco re
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.3	4.2	43	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
10	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
	Overall Score	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3

Table No 4: Mean score of student's responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Language department teachers in college

Sr. No.	Name of Teachers	Short Form
1	Dr. Ramchandra S. Deshmukh	RSD
2	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai	PCG
3	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni	GVK
4	Mr. Pradip P. Chavan	PPC
5	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede	RSS
6	Mr. Siddhartha M. Ingale	SMI

Analysis of the Students Feedback Survey:

The students were asked to point out their feedback, perception on five point Likert scale of the degree of strongly agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, and strongly disagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing, 3 to neutral, 2 to disagreeing, and 1 to strongly disagreeing. After that, the recorded perceptions on the different statements were processed to obtain the students feedback analysis report. For validation purposes, statement having a mean score below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 3.01 to 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While mean score more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as strongly agreeing.

Conclusions:

Table No.: 1, and Chart No.: 1, demonstrates the mean scores of the student's feedback report towards, quality of teaching learning environment, obtained on sixteen statements. The charts states that students had similar opinion towards all the sixteen statements ranging from 3.3 to 4.9. It may be noted that all the sixteen statements were considered as strongly agreeing since the minimum scores of any statement was not less than 3.3.

However it may be noted that a statement, 'The functioning of the placement cell in the college is satisfactory', with a mean score of 2.5 and another statement, 'Online educational resources are available and accessible in library' with a mean score of 4.1 was not rated as a significant statement by the students with the comparison of statement, 'The campus is green and eco-friendly', with a mean score 4.1 and statement, 'The office staff in college is cooperative and helpful', with a mean score of 4.4. The mean scores of the responses between the degree of strongly disagreeing and strongly agreeing held by all students for all statements ranged from3.3, to 4.9, and so on, with an average mean score of 4.4. The statements, 'The office staff in college is cooperative and helpful', 'Clean drinking water is available in the college campus', had scored an average mean score of 4.4, highest strongly agreeing statement, whereas the statement, 'The functioning of the placement cell in the college is satisfactory' had scored lowest average mean of 2.5.

Table No.: 2, and Chart No.: 2, demonstrates the responses of student's feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Social Science** Department teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no.2, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.3 and maximum score is 4.4 and average score is 4.3

Table No.: 3, and Chart No.: 3, demonstrates the responses of student's feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Commerce Department** teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no. 3, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.6 and maximum score is 4.8 and average score is 4.7

Table No.: 4, and Chart No.: 4, demonstrates the responses of student's feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Language Department** teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no. 4, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.2 and maximum score is 4.3 and average score is 4.3

Action Taken:

The analysis of feedback report was forwarded to the Principal, HOD's of Humanities and Commerce department and was also discussed in the meeting held by IQAC coordinator for taking collectively correct action to minimize the dissatisfaction of students were the minimum mean score is less than 4.1

REPORT OF THE FEEDBACK COMMITTEE FOR THEACADEMICYEAR2019-2020 Feedback report from the students was collected offline.

- Feedbacks collected from the students were analyzed and approval of the same was taken from the principal and then forwarded to the HOD's of the college.
- 50 feedbacks out of Four hundred Twenty Five students from arts, 50 feedbacks out of Four hundred Thirty Three students from commerce departmental students were respectively collected.
- The students were asked to point out their feedback, perception on five point Likert scale of the degree of strongly agreeing, agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, and strongly disagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing, 3 to neutral, 2 to disagreeing, and 1 to strongly disagreeing. After that, there corded perceptions on the different statements were processed to obtain the students feedback analysis report. For ideation purposes, statement having a mean score below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 3.1to 5.0 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as strongly agreeng. An overall finding of the report faculty-wise is as follows.

2. Analysis of the Departmental Students Feedback Survey:

- Mean score of **Social Science**, **Commerce & Language** department students' responses towards the parameters about the teaching of all department teachers in college is 4.4.
- Mean score of the department student's responses towards the Statements about the teachinglearning environment in college is 4.1.

Suggestion for the betterment were given by the committee as follows

- Offline feedback should be collected
- Questionnaire should be restructured as per NAAC guidelines.
- Students should be informed in advance and motivated to fill offline feedback form.

Practical knowledge such as field visit, industrial trip should be increased.

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMODS STUDENT'S FEEDBACK Department of Language

Class:	B.com	TT.	Semester	3-4	Year 2019-20
--------	-------	-----	----------	-----	--------------

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree	4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Di	isagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.
---------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

Sr. No	Parameters	MAR	MAR	MAR	ENG	ENG	ELT
Sr. NO	i si sincicia	RSD	PCG	SMI	GVK	PPC	RSS
E.	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4	5	4	3	4	5
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	3	4	5	5	4	3
з	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4	4	3	4	5	1
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	5	4	3	4	9
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities.	5	5	4	4	2	5
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4	4	3	3	5	5
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	S	4	3	4.	5	4
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	4	5	4	3	4
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	4	3	4	5	4
10	Overall rating of the teacher	3	S	5	4	4	3

RSD	Dr. Ram S. Deshmukh	PCG	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai
SMI	Mr. Siddharth M. Ingale	GVK	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni
PPC	Mr. Pradeep P. Chavan	RSS	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede

Oratoss	
Signature	

Date:

	1 VE WILLIE
SHRIPAD F	RISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMON
A. 1997	STUDENT'S FEEDBACK
	Department of Commerce
	est gazesheigh chavan
Name : Dip	
Class: 2ml	year Semester Year 2019-20
Department	Commet CC Programme

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

	12 (S) (S) (S) (S)			B.Com.		
Sr. No	Parameters	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NAB
i.	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	5	4	5	4
1	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	5	5	5	4
1	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	મ	4	5	5
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4	4	5	5	5
4:	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	4	4	5	5
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4	5	4	5	5
2	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4	5	4	5	5
x	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	4	5	5	5
9.5	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	۲	4	5	5
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4	5	5	4	5

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree, 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree, 1-Strongly Disagree.

SSM	Mr. Sudhir S. Mulay	HRV	Mr. Hrishikarsh R. Vipradas
VPB	Mr. Vinod P,Bawaskar	ASN	Mr. Akash 5. Nilje
NAB	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale		

d.g. Oh.

Date;

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD STUDENT'S FEEDBACK Department of Commerce

Name :	tshish Shreve	m kapix	
Class:	3. Com TI	Semester	Year 2019-20
Departme	nt <u>Commer</u>	re Pr	ogramme

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree,	4- Aeree . 3-	Neutral, 7- Disagree	1-Strongly Disagree.
maring a anongry ugreet	a uBier 1 a.	menning' v. number.	14 arroubly montheast

Sr. No	Parameters			B.Com.	2	
Sr. No	raisineters	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NAE
i.	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	5	5	5	-
ż	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	5	5	5	-
ŝ	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	5	5	5	-
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	5	5	5	-
3	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	5	5	5	-
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	5	41	5	-
÷	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5	5	5	5	-
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	5	5	5	-
•	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	4	5	٣	
10	Overall rating of the teacher	5	5	5	5	-

SSM	Mr. Sudhir S. Mulay	HRV	Mr. Hrishikarsh R. Vipradas
VPB	Mr. Vinod P,Bawaskar	ASN	Mr. Akash S. Nilje
NAB	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale		

Date:

Signature

	A W MILLER OF
	HATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD
	STUDENT'S FEEDBACK
3	Social Science Department
Name: Ku. Asjeli	Shrikrushny Raut.
Class: <u>B.A. II</u>	Semester 117 Year 2019-20
Department	Programme

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters	POL.	ECO.	HIS	SOC	HEC
20002	1	NRN	GMM	GNB	GMJ	AU
t.	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	S	3	5	4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	4	3	4	3
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	5	3	S	3
	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	5	3	5	2
3	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	5	З	4	2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	5	2	5	4
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5	4	1	2	3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	5	5	5	S
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	4	3	4	4
10	Overall rating of the teacher	S	4	2	4	Δ

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

NRN	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar	GMM	Dr. Girlsh M. Mayee
GNB	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad	GMJ	Mr. Ganesh M. Joshi
AUJ	Mrs. Archana U. Joshi		


·A·S·Raut·

Date:

Feedback Report

2020-2021

CHART No.1: Mean score of art department student's responses towards thestatementsabouttheteaching-learningenvironmentincollege



Series1

SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.
1	4.2	26	4.1	51	4.2	76	3.9
2	4.1	27	4.4	52	4.6	77	4.1
3	4.4	28	3.9	53	3.9	78	3.9
4	4.6	29	3.8	54	4.4	79	3.9
5	3.3	30	3.6	55	3.8	80	4.3
6	4.1	31	4.3	56	4.6	81	4.0
7	4.1	32	4.4	57	3.8	82	4.1
8	4.3	33	4.6	58	4.1	83	4.6
9	3.8	34	4.1	59	3.8	84	4.4
10	4.1	35	4.3	60	4.2	85	4.4
11	3.8	36	4.1	61	4.1	86	4.1
12	4.6	37	4.4	62	3.3	87	4.1
13	3.9	38	4.2	63	4.1	88	4.1
14	4.2	39	4.4	64	4.1	89	4.1
15	4.7	40	4.1	65	3.9	90	4.4
16	4.1	41	3.9	66	4.3	91	3.3
17	3.9	42	3.6	67	3.8	92	3.8
18	4.1	43	3.8	68	4.8	93	3.7
19	3.4	44	4.6	69	4.1	94	3.4
20	4.4	45	3.5	70	3.6	95	4.2
21	4.1	46	3.3	71	4.2	96	4.1
22	4.1	47	3.9	72	4.6	97	4.1
23	3.9	48	4.9	73	4.1	98	4.1
24	4.3	49	4.4	74	3.7	99	4.6
25	3.9	50	4.4	75	4.4	100	4.1
	OverallN	AeanScoreo	ofallStude	entsFeedbac	kForm=		4.1


Table No.1: Mean score of art department student's responses towards the statements abouttheteaching-learningenvironmentincollege

CHART NO.2: MEAN SCORE OF STUDENTS RESPONSES TOWARDS THE PARAMETERS ABOUT THE TEACHING OF SOCIAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT TEACHERS IN COLLEGE

	_					
THE TEACHER COMES ON TIME FOR THE LECTURE AND ENGAGE HIS LECTURE REGULARLY	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
THE TEACHER PREPARE WELL FOR THE CLASSES AND DEMONSTRATES SUBJECT COMMAND	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4
THE TEACHER COMMUNICATES EFFECTIVELY WITH THE STUDENTS	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
THE TEACHER ENCOURAGES STUDENTS FOR ASKING QUESTION, DISCUSSION, AND CLASSROOM PARTICIPATION	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
YOUR TEACHER MAKES TOPICS EASY TO UNDERSTAND AND CONDUCTS STUDENTS CENTRIC LEARNING ACTIVITIES	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
THE TEACHER CREATES INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
THE TEACHER USES ICT TOOLS (ZOOM MEETING, GOOGLE CLASSROOM, YOUTUBE, EMAIL ETC;)	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.2
THE TEACHER IS FAIR AND TRANSPARENT IN INTERNAL ASSESSMENT	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
THE TEACHER INFORMS YOU ABOUT COURSE, PLAN, YOUR EXPECTED COMPETENCIES, COURSE OUTCOME AND	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
OVERALL RATING OF THE TEACHER	4.1	4.4	4.2	4	4.2	4.2

Table No.2: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters a bout the teaching of art department teachers in college

3	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad						G	NB		
2	Dr. Girish M. Mayee	Dr. Girish M. Mayee								
1	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar							RN		
Sr. No.	Name of Teachers						Short	Form		
	Overall Score	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2		
10	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1		
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2		
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2		
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3		
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3		
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2		
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2		
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2		
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4		
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3		
Statement No.	Statement	NRN	GMM	GNB	AUJ	GMJ	Average means core	Mean Score		



		nmerce					0	
	Responses of student's feedback colle						d given to	the
State m en tN	following statemen	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NAB	Average means core	Mean Score
0.								
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2
1 0	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
	Overall Score	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2

Table No.3: Mean score of student's responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Commerce department teachers in college

NameofTeachers	ShortForm
Mr. Sudhir S. Muley	SSM
Mr. Hrishikesh R. Vipradas	HRV
Mr. Vinod P Bawaskar	VPB
Mr. Akash S. Nilje	ASJ
Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale	NAB
	Mr. Sudhir S. Muley Mr. Hrishikesh R. Vipradas Mr. Vinod P Bawaskar Mr. Akash S. Nilje

	Chart Title											
	■ RSD ■ PCG ■ GVK ■ PPC ■ RSS ■ SN	/II ■ Av	erageme	eanscor	e 🗖 M	eanScor	e					
		_	,			_						
Ч	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4			
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5			
ŝ	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2			
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion, and classroom participation	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2			
ß	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2			
9	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3			
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2			
∞	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4	4.1	4.1	4.1			
6	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1			
10	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1			

	Responses of student's feedback collected on the basis of rating they had given to the following statement son five points Likert scale ale											
No.	Statement	RSD	PCG	GVK	PPC	RSS	SMI	Averag emean score	Me an Sc ore			
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4			
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5			
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2			
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2			
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2			
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3			
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2			
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1			
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1			
10	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1			
	Overall Score	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2			

Table No 4: Mean score of Students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of
Language department teachers in college

	Sr.No.	NameofTeachers	ShortForm
	1	Dr. Ramchandra S. Deshmukh	RSD
	2	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai	PCG
	3	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni	GVK
	4	Mr. Pradip P. Chavan	РРС
50 Page	5	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede	RSS

6 Mr. Siddhartha M. Ingale SMI

Analysis of the Students Feedback Survey:

The students asked feedback. were to point out their perceptiononfivepointLikertscaleofthedegreeofstronglyagreeing, agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, and strongly disagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing, 3 to neutral, 2 to disagreeing, and 1 to strongly disagreeing. After that, therecorded perceptionson the different statements were processed to obtain the students feedback analysis report. Forvalidation purposes, statement having a mean score below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean scoremore than 3.01 to 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would beconsidered as agreeing. While mean score more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaningthatastudent'sfeedback would beconsideredasstronglyagreeing

Conclusions:

Table No.: 1, and Chart No.: 1, demonstrates the mean scores of the student'sfeedbackreport towards, quality of teaching learning environment, obtained on sixteen statements. Thecharts states that students had similar opinion towards all the sixteen statements ranging from 3.3 to 4.6. It may be noted that all the sixteen statements were considered as strongly agreeing since the minimum scoresofany statement was not less than 3.3.

However it may be noted that a statement, 'The functioning of the placement cell in the college is satisfactory', with a mean score of 2.4 and another statement, 'Online educational resources are available and accessible in library' with a mean score of 4.1 was not rated as a significant statement by the students with the comparison of statement, 'The campus is green and co friendly', with a mean score 4.1 and statement, 'The office staff in college is cooperative and helpful', with a mean score of 4.4. The mean scores of the responses between the degree of strongly disagreeing and strongly agreeing held by all students for all statements ranged from 3.3, to 4.6, and so on, with an average mean score of 4.1. Thestatements, 'The office staff in college is cooperative and helpful', 'The campus is green and ecofriendly', 'Clean drinking water is available in the college campus', had scored an average meanscore of 4.1, highest strongly agreeing statement, whereas the statement, 'The functioning of the placement cellinthe college is satisfactory' hadscored lowest average mean of 2.4.

Table No.: 2, and Chart No.: 2, demonstrates the responses of students feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Social Science** Department teachers teaching that arementioned in the above table no.2, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.1 and maximum score is 4.5 and average score is 4.2

Table No.: 3, and Chart No.: 3, demonstrates the responses of students feedback collected n the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Commerce Department** teachers teaching that arementioned in the above table no. 3, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.0 and maximum score is 4.5 and average score is 4.2

Table No.: 4, and Chart No.: 4, demonstrates the responses of students feedback ⁵¹ Redflected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about Language

Department teachers teaching that arementioned in the above table no. 4, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.0 and maximum score is 4.5 and average score is 4.2

Action Taken:

The analysis of feedback report was forwarded to the Principal, HOD's of Humanities and Commerce department and was also discussed in the meeting held by IQAC coordinator for taking collectively correct action to minimize the dissatisfaction of students were the minimum mean score is less than 4.1

REPORT OF THE FEEDBACK COMMITTEE FOR THEACADEMICYEAR2020-2021 Feedback report from the students was collected offline.

- Feedbacks collected from the students were analyzed and approval of the same was taken from the principal and then forwarded to the HOD's of the college.
- 50feedbacksout of Four hundred Seventy Nine students from arts, 50 feedbacks out of four hundred ninety three students from commerce departmental students were respectively collected.
- The students were asked to point out their feedback, perception on five pointLikert scaleofthedegreeofstronglyagreeing, agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, andstronglydisagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing, 3 to neutral, 2 todisagreeing, and1 to strongly disagreeing. After that ,there corded percept ionsonthedifferentstatementswereprocessedtoobtainthestudentsfeedbackanalysisreport.For ideationpurposes, statementhaving ameans core below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that student'sfeedbackwouldbeconsidered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 3.1 to 5.0 was fixed s the cut-off point ,meaning the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While means coremore than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that as tudent's feedbackwould be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that as tudent's feedbackwould be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point and the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point and the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While means core more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point and the student 's feedback would be considered as agreeing. An overall finding of the port faculty wise is as follows.

3. Analysis of the Departmental Students Feedback Survey:

- Mean score of **Social Science**, **Commerce & Language** department studentsresponsestowardstheparametersabouttheteachingofalldepartmentteachersincollegeis4.2.
- Mean score of the department student's responses towards the Statements about the teaching-learning environment in collegeis4.1.

Suggestion for the betterment were given by the committee as follows

- Offline feedback should be collected
- Questionnaire should be restructured as per NAAC guidelines.
- Students should be informed in advance and motivated to fill offline feedback form.
- Practical knowledge such as field visit, industrial trip should be increased.



Name :	Abhiehe	ek Na	ha7an	Lasurskar	r
Class:	B. COM	Finel	_Semest	sem VI	Year 2020-2

Department Comm JCC B.com Programme

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

10.000	Parameters			B.Com.			
Sr. No	Foronieters	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NAB	
a	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	5	5	5	4	
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4	5	5	5	4	
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	4	5	5	4	
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	5	5	5	4	
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4	4	4	5	4	
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	5	5	5	5	
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	L,	5	5	5	Г	
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	5	5	5	5	
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	5	5	5	5	
10	Overall rating of the teacher	5	5	5	5	5	

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

SSM	Mr. Sudhir S. Mulay	HRV	Mr. Hrishikarsh R. Vipradas
VPB	Mr. Vinod P,Bawaskar	ASN	Mr. Akash S. Nilje
NAB	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale		

Date:

Signature

Nasufaka

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD STUDENT'S FEEDBACK Department of Commerce

Name : Saga - Go	aighan Mahl	chade		
Class: 314 Year	Semester	ेया (3)	Year	2-20-2
Department	commetce	_ Programn	ne	

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching. In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters		4	B.Com.	43	
		SSM	HRV	VP8	ASN	NAE
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4	5	5	9	5
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	2	2	3	9
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	5	5	5	9
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	5	2	5	5
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	9	4	5	5	5
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	5	5	5	5
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	-5	9	5	5	5
8	 The teacher is fair and transparent. In internal assessment. 	4	5	5	9	5
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	2	4	5	5	5
10	Overall rating of the teacher	9	5	5	4	4

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree, 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree, 1-Strongly Disagree.

SSM	Mr. Sudhir S. Mulay	HRV	Mr. Hrishikarsh R. Vipradas
VPB	Mr. Vinod P,Bawaskar	ASN	Mr. Akash S. Nilje
NAB	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale		

Date:

S.G. Hahlfrade

cil lin

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD STUDENT'S FEEDBACK Department of Language Name : <u>Pooja Digambap Hissal</u>

Year 2020-21 Semester_______ Class: b 117. Department English Mapth Programme

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

C	Parameters		MAR	MAR	ENG	ENG	ELT
Sr. No			PCG	SMI	GVK	PPC	RSS
i.	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	A	3	4	4	F
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	3	4	Б	U1	4	2
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4	3	3	4	4	0
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	4	5	4	З	1
3	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	З	4	3	ſ	1
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	4	З	1	5	5
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	3	4	2	3.	4	2
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	3	Ц	3	4	3	2
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	3	4	4	3	2	1
10	Overall rating of the teacher	0	3	4	4	2	1

Rating – 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

RSD	Dr. Ram S. Deshmukh	PCG	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai	
SMI	Mr. Siddharth M. Ingale	GVK	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni	
PPC	Mr. Pradeep P. Chavan	RSS	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede	

Date:

SHRIPAD KRISHNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALAYA, JALGAON JAMOD STUDENT'S FEEDBACK Department of Commerce

Name :	Ashis	h shaavar	Kople			11.75 Parts -
Class: _	B.cor	n <u>-10</u> -	_ Semester	Sem. VI	Year	2020-21
Departr	nent	Commere	e	_ Programm	ne	

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

24.0	Parameters		B.Com.				
Sr. No	Pordificiels	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NAE	
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	3	5	5	-	
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	5	5	5	5	-	
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	4	5	5	-	
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation		5	5	5	-	
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	5	5	5	5	~	
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	5	5	5	*	
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5	5	5	5	-	
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	5	5	5	5	÷	
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	5	5	5	5	1 77	
10	Overall rating of the teacher	5	5	5	5	-	

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

SSM	Mr. Sudhir S. Mulay	HRV	Mr. Hrishikarsh R. Vipradas	
VPB	Mr. Vinod P,Bawaskar	ASN	Mr. Akash S. Nilje	
NAB	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale			Ξ


Date:

Signature

Feedback Report

2021-2022

CHART No.1: Mean score of art department student's responses towards thestatements abouttheteaching-learningenvironmentincollege



Series1

SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.	SFF.No.	M.S.
1	4.2	26	4.1	51	4.2	76	3.9
2	4.1	27	4.4	52	4.6	77	4.1
3	4.4	28	3.9	53	3.9	78	3.9
4	4.6	29	3.8	54	4.4	79	3.9
5	3.3	30	3.6	55	3.8	80	4.3
6	4.1	31	4.3	56	4.6	81	4.0
7	4.1	32	4.4	57	3.8	82	4.1
8	4.3	33	4.6	58	4.1	83	4.6
9	3.8	34	4.1	59	3.8	84	4.4
10	4.1	35	4.3	60	4.2	85	4.4
11	3.8	36	4.1	61	4.1	86	4.1
12	4.6	37	4.4	62	3.3	87	4.1
13	3.9	38	4.2	63	4.1	88	4.1
14	4.2	39	4.4	64	4.1	89	4.1
15	4.7	40	4.1	65	3.9	90	4.4
16	4.1	41	3.9	66	4.3	91	3.3
17	3.9	42	3.6	67	3.8	92	3.8
18	4.1	43	3.8	68	4.8	93	3.7
19	3.4	44	4.6	69	4.1	94	3.4
20	4.4	45	3.5	70	3.6	95	4.2
21	4.1	46	3.3	71	4.2	96	4.1
22	4.1	47	3.9	72	4.6	97	4.1
23	3.9	48	4.9	73	4.1	98	4.1
24	4.3	49	4.4	74	3.7	99	4.6
25	3.9	50	4.4	75	4.4	100	4.1
	Overall	MeanScored	ofallStude	entsFeedbac	:kForm=		4.1

Table No.1: Mean score of art department student's responses towards the statements abouttheteachinglearningenvironmentincollege




Table No.2: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of art department teachers in college

Respons	es of students feedback collected on the b five p		rating the		given to	the follo	owing staten	nents on
Statemer No.		NRN	GMM	GNB	AUJ	GMJ	Averagem eanscor e	Mean Score
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4.1	4.1	4.2	4	4.2	4.1	4.1
	OverallScore	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3
Sr.No.	NameofTeachers					Short F		
1	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar					NRN		
2	Dr. Girish M. Mayee					GMN		
3 4	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad Mrs. Archana U. Joshi					GNI AUJ		
4	IVITS, AFCHAHA U. JOSHI		AU					




Table No.3: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of
Commerce department teachers in college

Resp	onses of students feedback collected Statements o			-	•	l given	to the follow	wing
Statement No.	Statement	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NAB	Average means core	Mean Score
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2
	OverallScore	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2

Sr.No.	Name of Teachers	Short Form
1	Mr. Sudhir S. Muley	SSM
2	Mr. Hrishikesh R. Vipradas	HRV
3	Mr. Vinod P Bawaskar	VPB
4	Mr. Akash S. Nilje	ASJ
5	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale	NAB



Table No 4: Mean score of students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of Language department teachers in college

Respo	nses of students feedback collected on th five p	ie basis o points lik			ad giver	n to th	e followi	ng Statemo	ents on
No.	Statement	RSD	PCG	GVK	PPC	RSS	SMI	Average means core	Me anS cor e
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
7	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2
10	Overall rating of the teacher	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
	Overall Score	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2

Sr.No.	NameofTeachers	ShortForm
1	Dr. Ramchandra S. Deshmukh	RSD
2	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai	PCG
3	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni	GVK
4	Mr. Pradip P. Chavan	PPC
5	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede	RSS
6	Mr. Siddhartha M. Ingale	SMI

Analysis of the Students Feedback Survey:

The students were asked to point out their feedback, perception on five point Like rt scale of the degree of strongly agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, and strongly disagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing,3 to neutral, 2 to disagreeing, and 1 to strongly disagreeing. After that, the recorded perceptions on the different statements were processed to obtain the students feedback analysis report. For validation purposes, statement having a mean score below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 3.01 to 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While mean score more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While mean score more than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as agreeing. While mean

Conclusions:

Table No.: 1, and Chart No.: 1, demonstrates the mean scores of the student's feedback report towards, quality of teaching learning environment, obtained on sixteen statements. The charts states that students had similar opinion towards all the sixteen statements ranging from 3.3 to 4.6. It may be noted that all the sixteen statements were considered as strongly agreeing since the minimum scores of any statement was not less than 3.3.

However it may be noted that a statement, 'The functioning of the placement cell in the college is satisfactory', with a mean score of 2.4 and another statement, 'Online education all resources are available and accessible in library' with a mean score of 4.1 was not rated as a significant statement by the students with the comparison of statement, 'The campus is green and eco friendly', with a mean score 4.1 and statement, 'The office staff in college is cooperative and helpful', with a mean score of 4.4. The mean scores of the responses between the degree of strongly disagreeing and strongly agreeing held by all students for all statements ranged from 3.3, to 4.6, and so on, with an average mean score of 4.1. Thes statements, 'The office staff in college is cooperative and helpful', 'The campus is green and ecofriendly', 'Clean drinking water is available in the college campus', had scored an average mean score of 4.1, highest strongly agreeing statement, whereas the statement, 'The functioning of the placement celling the college is satisfactory' had scored lowest average mean of 2.4.

Table No.: 2, and Chart No.: 2, demonstrates the responses of students feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Social Science** Department teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no.2, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.1 and maximum score is 4.5 and average score is 4.2

Table No.: 3, and Chart No.: 3, demonstrates the responses of students feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Commerce Department** teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no. 3, on five points Likert scale. The overall

minimum score is 4.0 and maximum score is 4.5 and average score is 4.2

Table No.: 4, and Chart No.: 4, demonstrates the responses of students feedback collected on the basis of rating that they had given to the parameters about **Language Department** teachers teaching that are mentioned in the above table no. 4, on five points Likert scale. The overall minimum score is 4.0 and maximum score is 4.5 and average score is 4.2

Action Taken:

The analysis of feedback report was forwarded to the Principal, HOD's of Humanities and Commerce department and was also discussed in the meeting held by IQAC coordinator for taking collectively correct action to minimize the dissatisfaction of students where the minimum mean score is less than 4.1

REPORT OF THE FEEDBACK COMMITTEE FOR

THEACADEMICYEAR2021-2022 Feedback report from the students was collected offline.

- Feedbacks collected from the students were analyzed and approval of the same was taken from the principal and then forwarded to the HOD's of the college.
- 50 feedbacks out of Four hundred Seventy Nine students from arts, 50 feedbacks out of four hundred ninety three students from commerce departmental students were respectively collected.
- The students were asked to point out their feedback, perception on five point Liker.

scaleofthedegreeofstronglyagreeing, agreeing, neutral, disagreeing, and strong lydisagreeing where 5 point was given to strongly agreeing, 4 to agreeing, 3 to neutral, 2 todisagreeing, and 1 to strongly disagreeing. After that, the recorded perceptions on the different statements were processed to obtain the students feedback analysis report. For ideation purposes, statement having a mean score below 3.00 was fixed as the cut-off point; meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as disagreeing and a mean score more than 3.1 to 5.0 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as agreeing.Whilemeanscoremore than 4.00 was fixed as the cut-off point, meaning that a student's feedback would be considered as

4. Analysis of the Departmental Students Feedback Survey:

- Mean score of **Social Science**, **Commerce & Language** department students responses towards the parameters about the teaching of all department teachers in college is 4.2.
- Mean score of the department student's responses towards the Statements about the teaching-learning environment in college is 4.1.

Suggestion for the betterment were given by the committee as followsOffline feedback should be collected

- Offline feedback should be collected
- Questionnaire should be restructured as per NAAC guidelines.
- Students should be informed in advance and motivated to fill offline feedback form. Practical knowledge such as field visit, industrial trip should be increased.

S L		KRISHNA	KOLHATKAR MA	HAVIDYAL	AYA, JALC	GAON JAMO	B Status E MAR
31	INIT AD	REGENERATI	STUDENT'S Department o	FEEDBACK			Har and a the
Name :	ku.	Nikita	Motizam	Domdt	10E.	10.100.00	
Class:	B.C	om UT	Semester		_ Year_	2021-2	22

Department _____ Com merce____ Programme ____

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

				B.Com.		_
Sr. No	Parameters	SSM	HRV	VPB	ASN	NA
ī.	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	4	4	4	4	4
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4	3	4	3	4
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	3	4	3	4	3
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	4	4	4	4	4
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	3	4	4	3	3
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	4	3	3	4	3
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	4	9	3	4	4
8	The teacher is fair and transparent. In internal assessment	4	3	4	3	4
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs	3	3	4	4	4
10	out come Overall rating of the teacher	4	5	5	4	4

Rating – 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree, 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree, 1-Strongly Disagree.

SSM	Mr. Sudhir S. Mulay	HRV	Mr. Hrishikarsh R. Vipradas
and the second second second	Mr. Vinod P,Bawaskar	ASN	Mr. Akash S. Nilje
NAB	Mrs. Nilima A. Bhopale		

Date:

Signature

SHRIPAD KRIS	5HNA KOLHATKAR MAHAVIDYALA) STUDENT'S FEEDBACK	YA, JALGAON JAMOD
	Department of Language	
Name: <u>Pooja</u>	Digambar Hiss	dL
Class: B.A.J	TT Semester	Year 2021-22
Department	Programm	ne

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters	MAR	MAR	MAR	ENG	ENG	ELT
		RSD	PCG	SMI	GVK	PPC	RSS
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5	2	4	3	4	5
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4	2	5	4	5	5
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5	4	5	3	5	2
24	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5	5	45	5	3	4
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities		4	4	5	2	2
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5	2	4	4	5	5
1	The teacher uses ICT tools (LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5	5	5	4.	2	3
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	3	5	5	5	2	3
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	3	4	5	5	2	5
10	Overall rating of the teacher	2	2	5	2	5	5

Rating – 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

RSD	Dr. Ram S. Deshmukh	PCG	Mr. Prakash C. Gawai	- 1
SMI	Mr. Siddharth M. Ingale	GVK	Mr. Girish V. Kulkarni	
PPC	Mr. Pradeep P. Chavan	RSS	Mr. Rameshwar S. Saykhede	

Date:

PALissoft

		GAR*LIS WALL	
	HATKAR MAHAVIDYAL STUDENT'S FEEDBACK	AYA, JALGAON JAMO	
	Social Science Department	1 21 1/24	
Name: Ku Anyja	Shantapam	Tayle	
Class: B. A. IT	Semester	_ Year 2021 - 22	
Department	Programme		

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded The Information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching In future you need not disclose you name if you do not wish to

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent the they do not contradict each other

Sr. No	Parameters	POL	ECO.	HIS	SOC	HEC
	- staticter		GMM	GNB	GMJ	AUI
1	The teacher comes on time for the lecture and engage his lecture regularly	5			5	5
2	The teacher prepare well for the classes and demonstrates subject command	4			4	5
3	The teacher communicates effectively with the students	5			5	4
4	The teacher encourages students for asking question, discussion ,and classroom participation	5			5	5
5	Your teacher makes topics easy to understand and conducts students centric learning activities	4			5	4
6	The teacher creates interest in the subject	5			4	5
7	The teacher uses ICT tools(LED Projector, multimedia, email etc.)	5			4	5
8	The teacher is fair and transparent In internal assessment	4			5	5
9	The teacher informs you about course, plan, your expected competencies, course outcome and programs out come	4			3	4
10	Overall rating of the teacher	5			5	4

Rating - 5-Strongly Agree, 4- Agree , 3- Neutral, 2- Disagree ,1-Strongly Disagree.

NRN	Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar	GMM	Dr. Girish M. Mayee	
GNB	Dr. Gopal N. Bhad	GMJ	Mr. Ganesh M. Joshi	
AUJ	Mrs. Archana U. Joshi			

Date:

Signature

ANNUAL REPORT OF FEEDBACK COMMITTEE

Committee	Signature
Dr. R. S. Deshmakh (Chairman)	ser
Dr. Girish M Mayee (Convener)	azzu
1. Dr. Nilesh R. Nimbalkar (Member)	Attent
2. Mr. Hrishikesh R. Vipradas (Member)	423
3. Mr. Vinod P Bawaskar (Member)	Verbunneter